



Comment from <i>Insert your name and organisation</i>	Page number <i>Insert 'general' if your comment relates to the whole document</i>	Line/section number	Comment and suggestion for rewording <i>Please insert each new comment in a new row.</i>	Character of comment • 'major' ^a =1 • 'minor' ^b = 2 • 'linguistic' ^c =3 <i>Please indicate your choice by writing the according number in this field, e.g. for major choose "1".</i>	Author's reply
Susanne Rabady	p.9	2.2.2 <i>Table 2-5(a)</i>	Patient outcomes: since this is not a disease modifying intervention, but a diagnostic one (suitable for exclusion of a disease), one of the main outcomes might be reduction of hospital admissions, and overtreatment (LMWH till test results can be obtained)	1	Yes, we added hospital admissions but we think that overtreatment is covered with the outcome "harm from false positive and false negative tests".
	p.10	2.2.2 <i>Table 2-6(b)</i>	Comparison: venography: no place in CHD Diagnostics (angiography correct), ultrasound should be changed to Echocardiography	2	Thank you, we changed it.
	p.8	<i>Table 2-3</i>	Literature search strategy: Dynamed plus might provide useful additional sources	2	Thank you for your suggestion. Dynamed plus is similar to UpToDate, which is a clinical information/decision aid (partly based on Medline searches). For the guideline search we use Medline and Embase databases for the systematic searches and hand search the websites of HTA institutes and professional organizations. We will complement our handsearch using UpToDate.

Please add extra rows as needed.

^a "major": the comment points to a highly relevant aspect and a thorough answer is expected from the author(s)

^b "minor": the comment does not necessarily have to be answered in a detailed manner

^c"linguistic": grammar, wording, spelling or comprehensibility