



Comments should be submitted not later than **Weekday 23/07/2018**

Please use this form for submitting your comments and please return to Judit Erdos:

1. Please put each new comment in a new row.
2. Please insert the page number and section number on which your comment applies. If your comment relates to the document as a whole, please put **'general'** in this column.
3. Please provide a description of your comment as specific as possible and preferably also provide a suggestion for rewording. If you wish to draw our attention to published literature, please supply the full reference.

The draft Project Plan of the Rapid Assessment on Bioresorbable stents in cardiovascular indications (coronary artery disease) is open to review between 13/07/2018 and 23/07/2018.

Comment from <i>Insert your name and organisation</i>	Page number <i>Insert 'general' if your comment relates to the whole document</i>	Line/section number	Comment and suggestion for rewording <i>Please insert each new comment in a new row.</i>	Character of comment • 'major' ^a = 1 • 'minor' ^b = 2 • 'linguistic' ^c = 3 <i>Please indicate your choice by writing the according number in this field, e.g. for major choose "1".</i>	Author's reply
Dr. Schuchlenz			Patients with acute coronary heart disease clearly benefit from an invasive treatment with PCI using drug eluting stents.		We agree.
Dr. Schuchlenz			So far the best management strategy for patients with stable ischemic heart disease (invasive strategy (INV) with cardiac catheterization followed by revascularization plus optimal medical therapy (OMT) or to a conservative strategy (CON) of OMT, with cardiac catheterization and revascularization		We agree.

Please add extra rows as needed.

^a "major": the comment points to a highly relevant aspect and a thorough answer is expected from the author(s)

^b "minor": the comment does not necessarily have to be answered in a detailed manner

^c "linguistic": grammar, wording, spelling or comprehensibility



Comments should be submitted not later than *Weekday 23/07/2018*

Comment from <i>Insert your name and organisation</i>	Page number <i>Insert 'general' if your comment relates to the whole document</i>	Line/section number	Comment and suggestion for rewording <i>Please insert each new comment in a new row.</i>	Character of comment • 'major' ^a = 1 • 'minor' ^b = 2 • 'linguistic' ^c = 3 <i>Please indicate your choice by writing the according number in this field, e.g. for major choose "1".</i>	Author's reply
			reserved for those who fail OMT) has not been answered clearly. This issue is actually determined by the ISCHEMIA trial.		
Dr. Schuchlenz			Therefore a subgroup analysis evaluating the different indications for stent implantations would absolutely make sense.		We will carry out this subgroup analysis if appropriate data are available.

Please add extra rows as needed.

^a "major": the comment points to a highly relevant aspect and a thorough answer is expected from the author(s)

^b "minor": the comment does not necessarily have to be answered in a detailed manner

^c"linguistic": grammar, wording, spelling or comprehensibility